Chapter 4

Names

- Design issues:
  - Maximum length?
  - Are connector characters allowed?
  - Are names case sensitive?
  - Are special words reserved words or keywords?

Length

- FORTRAN I: maximum 6
- COBOL: maximum 30
- FORTRAN 90 and ANSI C: maximum 31
- Ada: no limit, and all are significant
- C++: no limit, but implementors often impose one

Connectors

- Pascal, Modula-2, and FORTRAN 77 don't allow
- Others do
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Case sensitivity

- Disadvantage: readability (names that look alike are different)
  - worse in Modula-2 because predefined names are mixed case (e.g. WriteCard)

- C, C++, Java, and Modula-2 names are case sensitive
- The names in other languages are not

Special words

Def: A keyword is a word that is special only in certain contexts

- Disadvantage: poor readability

Def: A reserved word is a special word that cannot be used as a user-defined name
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A variable is an abstraction of a memory cell

Variables can be characterized as a sextuple of attributes:
  name, address, value, type, lifetime, and scope

Name - not all variables have them

Address - the memory address with which it is associated
  - A variable may have different addresses at different times during execution
  - A variable may have different addresses at different places in a program
  - If two variable names can be used to access the same memory location, they are called aliases
  - Aliases are harmful to readability
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- **How aliases can be created:**

  - Pointers, reference variables, Pascal variant records, C and C++ unions, and FORTRAN EQUIVALENCE (and through parameters - discussed in Chapter 8)

  - Some of the original justifications for aliases are no longer valid; e.g. memory reuse in FORTRAN - replace them with dynamic allocation

**Type** - determines the range of values of variables and the set of operations that are defined for values of that type; in the case of floating point, type also determines the precision

**Value** - the contents of the location with which the variable is associated

- *Abstract memory cell* - the physical cell or collection of cells associated with a variable
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The \textit{l-value} of a variable is its address
The \textit{r-value} of a variable is its value

\textbf{Def:} A \textit{binding} is an association, such as between
an attribute and an entity, or between an
operation and a symbol

\textbf{Def:} Binding time is the time at which a binding
takes place.

Possible binding times:

1. Language design time--e.g., bind operator
   symbols to operations

2. Language implementation time--e.g., bind fl. pt.
   type to a representation

3. Compile time--e.g., bind a variable to a type in
   C or Java

4. Load time--e.g., bind a FORTRAN 77 variable to a
   memory cell (or a C_{\text{static}} variable)

5. Runtime--e.g., bind a nonstatic local variable to
   a memory cell
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**Def**: A binding is *static* if it occurs before run time and remains unchanged throughout program execution.

**Def**: A binding is *dynamic* if it occurs during execution or can change during execution of the program.

**Type Bindings**

1. How is a type specified?
2. When does the binding take place?

If static, type may be specified by either an explicit or an implicit declaration

**Def**: An *explicit declaration* is a program statement used for declaring the types of variables

**Def**: An *implicit declaration* is a default mechanism for specifying types of variables (the first appearance of the variable in the program)

FORTRAN, PL/I, BASIC, and Perl provide implicit declarations

*Advantage*: writability

*Disadvantage*: reliability (less trouble with Perl)
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Dynamic Type Binding

- Specified through an assignment statement
e.g. APL

\[
\text{LIST} \leftarrow 2 \ 4 \ 6 \ 8 \\
\text{LIST} \leftarrow 17.3
\]

*Advantage:* flexibility (generic program units)

*Disadvantages:*
1. High cost (dynamic type checking and interpretation)
2. Type error detection by the compiler is difficult

Type Inferencing (ML, Miranda, and Haskell)
- Rather than by assignment statement, types are determined from the context of the reference

Storage Bindings

*Allocation* - getting a cell from some pool of available cells

*Deallocation* - putting a cell back into the pool

Def: The *lifetime* of a variable is the time during which it is bound to a particular memory cell
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Categories of variables by lifetimes

1. Static--bound to memory cells before execution begins and remains bound to the same memory cell throughout execution.
   e.g. all FORTRAN 77 variables, C static variables

   Advantage: efficiency (direct addressing),
              history-sensitive subprogram support

   Disadvantage: lack of flexibility (no recursion)

2. Stack-dynamic--Storage bindings are created for variables when their declaration statements are elaborated.
   - If scalar, all attributes except address are statically bound
   e.g. local variables in Pascal and C subprograms

   Advantage: allows recursion; conserves storage

   Disadvantages:
   - Overhead of allocation and deallocation
   - Subprograms cannot be history sensitive
   - Inefficient references (indirect addressing)
3. Explicit heap-dynamic—Allocated and deallocated by explicit directives, specified by the programmer, which take effect during execution

- Referenced only through pointers or references

e.g. dynamic objects in C++ (via new and delete) all objects in Java

*Advantage*: provides for dynamic storage management

*Disadvantage*: inefficient and unreliable

4. Implicit heap-dynamic—Allocation and deallocation caused by assignment statements
e.g. all variables in APL

*Advantage*: flexibility

*Disadvantages*:
- Inefficient, because all attributes are dynamic
- Loss of error detection
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Type Checking

- Generalize the concept of operands and operators to include subprograms and assignments

Def: *Type checking* is the activity of ensuring that the operands of an operator are of compatible types

Def: A *compatible type* is one that is either legal for the operator, or is allowed under language rules to be implicitly converted, by compiler-generated code, to a legal type. This automatic conversion is called a *coercion*.

Def: A *type error* is the application of an operator to an operand of an inappropriate type

- If all type bindings are static, nearly all type checking can be static

- If type bindings are dynamic, type checking must be dynamic

Def: A programming language is *strongly typed* if type errors are always detected
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Advantage of strong typing: allows the detection of the misuses of variables that result in type errors

Languages:

1. FORTRAN 77 is not: parameters, `EQUIVALENCE`

2. Pascal is not: variant records

3. Modula-2 is not: variant records, `WORD` type

4. C and C++ are not: parameter type checking can be avoided; unions are not type checked

5. Ada is, almost (`UNCHECKED CONVERSION` is loophole) (Java is similar)
   Coercion rules strongly affect strong typing--they can weaken it considerably (C++ versus Ada)

Dynamic Type Binding

Advantage of dynamic type binding: programming flexibility

Disadvantages:
1. efficiency
2. late error detection (costs more)
Type Compatibility

Def: *Type compatibility by name* means the two variables have compatible types if they are in either the same declaration or in declarations that use the same type name

- Easy to implement but highly restrictive:
  - Subranges of integer types are not compatible with integer types
  - Formal parameters must be the same type as their corresponding actual parameters (Pascal)

Def: *Type compatibility by structure* means that two variables have compatible types if their types have identical structures

- More flexible, but harder to implement
Consider the problem of two structured types:

- Suppose they are circularly defined
- Are two record types compatible if they are structurally the same but use different field names?
- Are two array types compatible if they are the same except that the subscripts are different? (e.g. [1..10] and [-5..4])
- Are two enumeration types compatible if their components are spelled differently?

- With structural type compatibility, you cannot differentiate between types of the same structure (e.g. different units of speed, both float)

Language examples:

Pascal: usually structure, but in some cases name is used (formal parameters)

C: structure, except for records

Ada: restricted form of name
  - Derived types allow types with the same structure to be different
  - Anonymous types are all unique, even in: A, B : array (1..10) of INTEGER:
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Scope

Def: The *scope* of a variable is the range of statements over which it is visible

Def: The *nonlocal* variables of a program unit are those that are visible but not declared there

The scope rules of a language determine how references to names are associated with variables

Static scope

- Based on program text

- To connect a name reference to a variable, you (or the compiler) must find the declaration

- *Search process*: search declarations, first locally, then in increasingly larger enclosing scopes, until one is found for the given name

- Enclosing static scopes (to a specific scope) are called its *static ancestors*; the nearest static ancestor is called a *static parent*
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Variables can be hidden from a unit by having a "closer" variable with the same name

- C++ and Ada allow access to these "hidden" variables

Blocks - a method of creating static scopes inside program units--from ALGOL 60

Examples:

C and C++:  
```c
for (...) {
    int index;
    ...
}
```

Ada:  
```ada
declare LCL : FLOAT;
begin
    ...
end
```
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Evaluation of Static Scoping

Consider the example:
Assume MAIN calls A and B
A calls C and D
B calls A and E
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Suppose the spec is changed so that D must now access some data in B

Solutions:

1. Put D in B (but then C can no longer call it and D cannot access A's variables)

2. Move the data from B that D needs to MAIN (but then all procedures can access them)

Same problem for procedure access!

Overall: static scoping often encourages many globals

Dynamic Scope

- Based on calling sequences of program units, not their textual layout (temporal versus spatial)

- References to variables are connected to declarations by searching back through the chain of subprogram calls that forced execution to this point
Example:

```
MAIN
- declaration of x

SUB1
- declaration of x -
...
call SUB2
...

SUB2
...
- reference to x -
...
...
call SUB1
...
```

MAIN calls SUB1
SUB1 calls SUB2
SUB2 uses x

Static scoping - reference to x is to MAIN's x

Dynamic scoping - reference to x is to SUB1's x
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Evaluation of Dynamic Scoping:
- **Advantage:** convenience
- **Disadvantage:** poor readability

Scope and lifetime are sometimes closely related, but are different concepts!!
- Consider a static variable in a C or C++ function

Referencing Environments

**Def:** The *referencing environment* of a statement is the collection of all names that are visible in the statement

- In a static scoped language, that is the local variables plus all of the visible variables in all of the enclosing scopes
  - See book example (p. 184)

- A subprogram is *active* if its execution has begun but has not yet terminated

- In a dynamic-scoped language, the referencing environment is the local variables plus all visible variables in all active subprograms
  - See book example (p. 185)
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Def: A named constant is a variable that is bound to a value only when it is bound to storage

- Advantages: readability and modifiability

The binding of values to named constants can be either static (called manifest constants) or dynamic

Languages:
Pascal: literals only
Modula-2 and FORTRAN 90: constant-valued expressions
Ada, C++, and Java: expressions of any kind

Variable Initialization

Def: The binding of a variable to a value at the time it is bound to storage is called initialization

Initialization is often done on the declaration statement

e.g., Ada

\[ \text{SUM} : \text{FLOAT} := 0.0; \]